



Perception and Performance of Secondary School Science Teachers' Inquiry-Based Methods of Teaching in Hawassa University Technology Villages

Girma Moti Geletu¹, Janbo Guduru Figa², Seboka Geleta Tonkolu³ & Solomon Wolde Roba⁴

¹PhD in Curriculum Studies, Hawassa University, CEBS, School of Teacher Education, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Email Address: girmamg@hu.edu.et; girmamgeletu5@gmail.com;

ID:0000-0002-4962-037X; P.O.Box .05

²MA in Curriculum and Instruction, Hawassa University, CEBS, School of Teacher Education, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Email Address: janbontu1973@gmail.com; P.O.Box. 05

³MA in Curriculum and Instruction, Hawassa University, CEBS, School of Teacher Education, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Email Address: geletaseboka2009@gmail.com; P.O.Box. 05

⁴Assistant Professor in Curriculum and Instruction, Hawassa University, CEBS, School of Teacher Education, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Email Address: solwoldie@gmail.com; P.O.Box. 05

Received date January 13, 2024

Accepted date February 1, 2024

Abstract

The study investigated perception and performance of secondary school science teachers' inquiry-based methods of teaching in Hawassa University technology villages. The researchers employed mixed method with quantitative driven embedded mixed (QUAN+quan) design. The primary sources of data were principals, department heads, and teachers. The researchers selected participants using availability, purposive, and stratified sampling techniques. The researchers' collected data using questionnaires, interview, and observation from data sources. The researchers analyzed quantitative data by using frequency, percentages, mean, independent sample t-test and one-way-ANOVA using SPSS-26. The data obtained through interviews and observations was qualitatively narrated under their main themes using NVivo-14 software. The findings of the study revealed that science teachers positively perceived inquiry-based teaching methods regardless of their implementation. Despite of respondents' positive perception, the practices of inquiry-based teaching methods in science were limited, and that there were no statistically significant differences of means of respondents. The major challenges those impeded teachers from implementing inquiry-based teaching methods were lack of instructional materials, absence of laboratory equipment, chemicals, manuals and lab-technicians, large class size, lack of creativity and innovation among teachers and lack of teachers' competences. Therefore, the study recommended that school of teacher education, district educational offices and schools should enhance teachers' performance via devising necessary professional and pedagogical training.

Keywords: Science teaching; inquiry-based methods of teaching; perception and performance of teachers; professional and pedagogical competencies; instructional materials; scientific methods

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Inquiry in science education has a long and complex history; the term "inquiry" is grounded in the ideas of key educators such as Dewey (1996) and Bruner (1960). John Dewey has rooted inquiry in experience and described the pattern of inquiry, which is located in human culture, language, and everyday experience. According to Dewey, learning experiences should be collaborative and placed in the context of the reconstruction of knowledge. Moreover, Girma (2022) suggested that teacher's professional and pedagogical competencies play paramount importance in implementation of various active learning strategies (cooperative learning, differentiated instruction and micro-teaching) in classrooms.

Recently, there has been a pedagogical shift in contemporary curriculum theory aimed at encouraging teachers to incorporate scientific inquiry into their practice as a means to enhance learners' interest in science (Dillon, 2009; Gudyanga & Jita, 2019). The inquiry-oriented approach emanated from the appreciation of the fact that learners' interest in science subjects develops through a process that allows them to obtain scientific knowledge by investigating phenomena rather than listening to the teacher

and summarizing main ideas. Keller, Neumann, and Fischer (2016) stated that inquiry-based teaching is a major determinant and predictor of learner motivation and teachers' self-efficacy. Besides, Chen, Mineweaser, Acceta, and Noonan (2018) stated that inquiry-based teaching is a relatively new and innovative practice that requires learners to carry out scientific investigations instead of cramming facts from a textbook.

In supporting the above ideas, the National Research Council (2000) described that when students are involved in inquiry, they go through the five steps that constitute the essential features of inquiry. Firstly, learners are engaged by scientifically-oriented questions. In the best of cases, these questions should come from the students, even though often they are provided by the teacher. Secondly, learners give priority to evidence, allowing them to develop and evaluate explanations that address these scientifically oriented questions. Here, the teacher does not simply provide recipe-like instructions for each experiment; rather, learners plan and decide how experiments are to be conducted. Thirdly, learners formulate an explanation based on evidence to address scientifically oriented questions. This explanation should refer to the initial question they were trying to answer. Fourthly, once students have made the explanation based on the data they collected, they evaluate it and consider whether it fits into

the evidence they already have. Lastly, learners communicate and justify their proposed explanation. Each of these features of inquiry when applied in a classroom, it varies both in terms of the amount of learner self-direction on the one hand and the amount of the teachers or material's direct involvement on the other hand. Through this process, science teachers create an enabling learning environment. Moreover, Zoller (2011) discussed that science learning should require the development of students' ability to be engaged in higher-order cognitive skills based on forms of inquiry such as question-asking, critical thinking, evaluative system thinking, decision-making, and problem-solving capabilities in dealing with characteristically interdisciplinary everyday life. An important element in this higher-order cognitive skills model presented by Zoller (2011) is the transfer capability, which is the capability of transferring different learning situations into real-life problem-solving contexts. Therefore, inquiry-based education proves vital, especially with respect to achieving complex and comprehensive "higher-order" objectives such as understanding science principles, comprehending scientific inquiry, and applying scientific knowledge to personal and societal issues (Anderson, 2002). Hence, inquiry pedagogies emphasize, among others, the teacher's role: a shift from "dispenser of knowledge" to facilitator or coach for supporting students' learning. Because of this, the role of

the teacher switches from being the authority to becoming a guide who challenges students to think beyond their current processes by offering guided questions and preparing wisely planned scaffolds (Anderson, 2002; Windschitl, 2002).

1. Inquiry-based teaching improves the quality of teaching and learning in the natural sciences. At the same time, it encourages the teachers to undertake inquiry-based learning in a systematic manner to improve natural sciences teaching in classrooms. From this point of view, inquiry-based teaching could be considered one of the most important methods for teaching the natural sciences because it focuses on real teaching and learning processes and encourages students to construct their own knowledge. Pedagogical mentoring and coaching practices to novice and veteran teachers was limited to ensure instructional practices and students' learning engagement in classrooms via plan-do-study-act-evaluation paths to ensure their competencies (Girma, 2023). This encourages the teacher to play significant roles in linking the learner and process of learning. The teacher not only plans the inquiry but also plans how this inquiry can end in a meaningful experience that can be used in the future. Implementing is not always the best way to learn. The important thing to consider is what makes the students gain conceptual development.

2. Teachers' capabilities in organizing and facilitating inquiry-oriented teaching and learning processes are essential. The paradigm shift from lecture method to active learning methods is essential to make students take the position of constructivists through developing positive interdependence, self-confidence, communication and information processing skills and critical thinking because of higher order thinking and learning practices (Girma 2022). This professional and pedagogical capability cover issues such as self-efficacy, motivation, and enthusiasm for teaching (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2001). In relation to this, Shalem & Peddlebury (2010) depict that teachers are at the core of curriculum implementation, and their perceptions about inquiry-based teaching methods can provide pedagogical insights that can enhance learner motivation in sciences.
3. Therefore, teachers' perception about inquiry is a problem that relates to curriculum delivery, and its investigation can improve the quality of learning and hopefully stimulate learner interest in science. The rationale behind conducting the present study was to investigate the perception and performance of secondary education natural science teachers and their performance of inquiry-based teaching

methods in secondary schools at Hawassa University Technology Village.

1.2 Problem Statement

The 21st century classroom requires the 21st century teachers and students, and in that both teachers and students are equally important to implement the curriculum in Ethiopian classrooms (Girma, 2022). Now days, it is evident that inquiry-based teaching methods improve the quality of teaching and learning in the natural sciences. It is on this ground that teachers, particularly secondary school natural science teachers, need to have positive perceptions and be encouraged to apply inquiry-based teaching in secondary schools.

Regarding this, secondary school teachers' lack experiences using inquiry-based teaching methods (Ramnarain & Hlatshwayo, 2018). Likewise, a study conducted in Rwanda by Mugabo (2015) revealed that science teachers did not understand what inquiry-based science teaching methods meant and tended to associate inquiry-based teaching methods with teacher-dominated demonstrations, even though inquiry-based teaching methods are the official curriculum policy in that country. Teaching sciences, such as well-equipped laboratories, was perceived as a challenge that discouraged sciences subjects, particularly biology, chemistry, and physics. For instance, a study in South Africa by Ramnarain (2015) indicates that

teachers' lack of pedagogical content knowledge and general pedagogical knowledge greatly contributed to their inability to teach through inquiry. This implies that some teachers had difficulties teaching science subjects in a practical way and, in so doing, de-motivated learners. Other studies revealed that the problem of the lack of resources

In the Ethiopian context, there are some studies conducted in different areas of the country concerning the advantages of the inquiry-based teaching method. For instance, the findings of a study conducted by Asrat (2020) on the effect of using the guided inquiry teaching method in improving grade eight students' concept of photosynthesis in primary school in Ethiopia revealed that the guided inquiry teaching method is more effective than the lecture method in improving students' conceptual understanding of photosynthesis. Also, the findings of the study conducted by Adugna (2017) depict that the teachers working in the target schools are aware of the importance of practical-based learning that promotes the acquisition of long-lasting knowledge and skills at the preparatory school level. However, the aforementioned researchers focused on the importance of the inquiry-based teaching method, and their investigation overlooked the teachers' perceptions and their performance regarding the inquiry-based teaching methods. Hence, this study differs from the others in that it focuses on investigating

teachers' perceptions and performance concerning inquiry-based teaching methods in secondary school.

In addition, from the researchers teaching experiences and their observations, a number of gaps exist between theories and practices in relation to performing inquiry-based teaching methods in the natural sciences. Therefore, the researchers were motivated and inspired to examine science teachers' perception and performance of inquiry-based teaching methods in the secondary school of Hawassa University Technology Transfer Villages.

1.3 Objectives

The study was aimed at investigating science teachers' perception and performance of inquiry-based teaching methods in secondary schools in Hawassa University technology transfer villages.

The study helps to:

1. Examine science teachers' perception and utilization inquiry-based teaching methods in their instruction.
2. Evaluate the extent to which the science teachers are performing inquiry-based teaching methods.
3. Test statistically significance of differences across sciences teachers' performance of inquiry-based teaching methods.
4. To identify major challenges hinder teachers in their attempt to integrate

inquiry-based teaching methods in science instruction.

5. Evaluate the professional and technical collaboration between school of teacher education in HU and secondary school science teachers to improve their competencies of practicing IBT methods.

1.4 Research Questions

In line with the objective of the study, the following research questions were raised and answered. These are:

1. How do science teachers perceive and practice the use of inquiry-based teaching methods in the science instruction?
2. To what extents are teachers using inquiry-based teaching methods in their science teaching/learning?
3. Does the use of inquiry-based teaching methods shows a statistically significant differences between: (a) male and female teachers; and (b) among teachers of various disciplines?
4. What are the major challenges facing science teachers in their attempt to integrate inquiry-based teaching methods?
5. How well do secondary schools science teachers work in collaboration with college of teacher education to improve their pedagogical competencies of using IBT method?

1.5 Significance

The study helps secondary school natural science teachers to undertake self-assessments regarding their perception and performance of inquiry-based teaching in natural science classes. Therefore, the researchers' serves as a framework for curriculum designers and planners who might want to conduct further study on natural science teachers' perceptions and inquiry-based teaching of natural sciences in secondary schools.

2. Methodology

2.1 Description of Study Area

The study was conducted in Sidama Regional National State. It is found in the southern part of Ethiopia. It was formed on June 18, 2020, from the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples' Region (SNNPR). Sidama is the name of both the Sidama people and the Sidama territory. Sidama is bordered to the south by the Oromia Region (except for a short stretch in the middle where it shares a border with Gedeo zone), on the west by the Bilate River, which separates it from Wolayita zone, and on the north and east by the Oromia Region. Towns in Sidama include Hawassa, the capital of Sidama and SNNPR; Yirgalem; Wondogenet; Chuko; Hula; Bona; Bursa; Bensa; and Aleta Wendo. Sidama had a population of around 3.2 million in 2017 who spoke the Cushitic language Sidama (known as

Sidaamu Afoo). This study was carried out in five selected Woredas of Hawassa University Technology Villages namely; Dore Befano, Yirgalem town, Boricha, Wondo Genet and Habel Lida.

2.2. Method and Design and

In order to meet the main purpose of the study, a mixed method with embedded mixed (QUQN+qual) design were employed. An embedded mixed design was utilized to examine the current perception and practices of respondents on the issue under investigation in which the researchers collect data at one point in time (Creswell, 2014).

2.3 Sources of Data

This study is limited to the investigation of science teachers' perception and the performance of inquiry-based teaching methods aligned to natural science education in secondary schools, and the researchers limited this study to five woredas. From Dore Bafeno (Hawassa Langano High School), Yirgalem town (Yirgalem High School), Boricha (Yorba High School), Wondo Genet (Wondo Genet Secondary School), and Habela Lida (Habela High School) of Hawassa University Technology Transfer Villages. Therefore, the primary sources of data were secondary school principals, heads of departments of natural

sciences, and natural science teachers from sampled government secondary schools in the study area.

2.4 Sample Size and Sampling Techniques

There are 7 administrative town woredas and 30 woredas in Sidama Regional State. Since the size of the population is large, all the woredas and their respective secondary schools were not included in the study. Only five Woredas, which are found in Hawassa University Technology Villages, were selected using the availability sampling technique. The target secondary schools were selected using purposive sampling technique, that one school from each woreda to obtain adequate information about the technology villages. The sample size of participants was determined based on Cohen, Manion, and Morrison's (2018) sample size, confidence level, and confidence interval for the random sample table; 135 teachers (at 95% confidence level) out of the total population of 204 teachers from five secondary schools using stratified sampling technique followed by simple random sampling technique to select from each stratum.

In addition, while undertaking interview, 5 school principals and 5 head department heads of natural sciences were selected from sample secondary schools (one from each) using availability and purposive sampling techniques

respectively. Overall, 145 participants; 135 respondents for a questionnaire and 10 informants for an interview were included in this study for triangulation and enrichment of data (Cohen, Manion and Morris, 2018). The distribution of population, sample size and sampling techniques is illustrated in Table 1 below:

Table: 1 Total Population, Sample Size, and Sampling Techniques

Sample d High schools	Study subjects									
	Departm ent Head		Natural Science Teachers		Princip als					
	%		%		%					
	Sample	Population	Sample	Population	Sample	Population	Sample	Population	Sample	Population
Hawassa	3	1	3	3	2	6	1	1	1	0
Langa			3	8	5	6				0
no										0
Yirgalem	3	1	3	6	6		1	1		
			3	5	4	6	1	0		
				3				0		
Yorba	3	1	3	2	6		1	1		
			3	8	1	4	1	0		
				8				0		
Wondo Genet	3	1	3	4	6		1	1		
			3	2	2	6	1	0		
				8				0		
Habela	3	1	3	3	6		1	1		
			3	1	2	7	1	0		
				1				0		
Total	1	5	2							
		5	0	1		5	5			
			4	3						
				5						
Sampling Technique										
Purposive Sampling technique										
Simple random sampling technique										
Stratified simple random sampling technique										
Availability sampling technique										

2.5 Data Collection Instruments

Using multiple data collection instruments (Creswell and David, 2018), relevant data for the study was collected from the study subjects in the study area. Evidence helps provide answers to the research questions or hypotheses (Creswell, 2014). To this end, to answer the research questions, both quantitative and qualitative data were collected using a questionnaire, an interview, and observation.

For the questionnaire, questions that are related to science teachers' perception of the use of inquiry-based teaching methods, extent of science teachers' performance of inquiry-based teaching methods, and major challenges that science teachers are facing when integrating inquiry-based teaching methods were developed by the researchers from an extensive review of various literature and previous studies. The types of questions included were more closed-ended items and some open-ended questions. Accordingly, the format for items is based on a Likert scale. The Likert scale for teachers perceptions of the use of inquiry-based teaching methods is valued as strongly agree (5), agree (4), undecided (3), disagree (2), and strongly disagree (1); for teachers performance on the inquiry-based teaching methods, it is valued as always (5), usually (4), sometimes (3), rarely (2), and never (1). Likewise, the challenges facing teachers range from 1 (the least

challenging), 2 (more challenging), and 3 (most challenging). The questionnaire was structured into two main parts.

Concerning the interview guide, a semi-structured interview guide was used to obtain in-depth and detailed information from the participants (Yin, 2018). The interview was held with school principals and natural science department heads. The major themes of the interview guide natural science teachers' perceptions and the performance of inquiry-based teaching methods in natural science education. The interview was conducted in Amharic to make it easy for interviewees to understand, and then the data was transcribed into English.

Moreover, each sampled secondary school's laboratories (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics) were critically observed. The observation was conducted in each sampled school's laboratories by preparing the details of the observation checklist that enable researchers to describe whether the labs were functional and the availability of chemicals for science instruction through IBT methods.

2.6 Procedure of Data Collection

Regarding the data collection procedure, before collecting the data, the researchers explain the purpose of the research to the school principals. After permission is secured, the researchers and

the principal of the selected secondary schools arrange the time and place to get the participants. Participants were informed about the objective of the study and asked to participate as scheduled. In the first phase, quantitative data gathering was conducted. Accordingly, the required data was collected through the prepared questionnaire to obtain the necessary information. While quantitative data was collected, qualitative data was gathered to triangulate findings from quantitative data sources, which were conducted through semi-structured interviews and observation (Cohen et al., 2018). Therefore, the required data was collected step by step through prepared semi-structured interview guides and observation checklists. As the interview guide is semi-structured, other important questions were generated during the interview, and leading questions were introduced ahead. Observations were made according to the checklists prepared.

2.7 Validity and Reliability of Instruments

After preparing instruments for data collection, validation of the instruments was done using expert review on contents, face, and formats. Here, some irrelevant items were discarded, and some of the items were modified as per the comments given by experts. Then, the issue of reliability was addressed by pilot testing of the instruments on 30 teachers in a non-sample

secondary school, which was not part of the main study. To meet this, the researchers calculated Cronbach's alpha coefficient (α) to measure the internal consistency of questionnaires since it is applicable to test a coefficient of inter-item correlation of Likert scale items. Accordingly, the results of the study found out that $\alpha_1 = .78$ for the scale to measure teachers perception of the use of inquiry-based teaching methods, $\alpha_2 = .80$ for the prepared scale to measure teachers performance of inquiry-based teaching methods, and $\alpha_3 = .76$ for the prepared scale to measure challenges to implementing inquiry-based teaching methods. Finally, with the average value of alpha coefficient (α) .78 found above the expected measure to be possibly utilized, as Muijs (2004) evidenced, a coefficient of $\alpha .70$ and above is internally consistent (acceptable) for the purpose of the study.

2.8. Method of Data Analysis

Based on the nature of the data gathered, both quantitative and qualitative methods of data analysis were applied using SPSS-26 and NVivo-14 software respectively. Quantitative data gathered using a questionnaire was analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics using software. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were computed. Besides, inferential statistics from an independent sample T-test were made to compare the means of two

groups of male and female teachers on their performances in inquiry-based teaching methods. Similarly, to examine whether there is (a) a significant difference among teachers of various disciplines as well as (b) a difference among teachers of various disciplines on the extent of their performance of inquiry-based teaching methods, a one-way ANOVA was computed. With regard to qualitative data that was gathered using interview and open-ended questions and observation checklist content analysis in narration form under their main themes, Interview and observation data were mainly used for triangulation, with quantitative data gathered using questionnaires, which helps confirm its reliability.

2.9 Ethical Practices

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from College of Education to avoid the research misconduct because harm is narrated in the context of institutional characteristics, policies, procedures, guidelines and work environment (Petousiand Sifaki, 2020). Before distributing the instruments to the participants for data collection, permission was sought from education administration offices and secondary school participants.

3. Results and Discussion

In this part, the researchers report the general characteristics of the respondents and the major

findings of the study based on the evidences. Data collected using different instruments from multiple sources was presented according to the main research questions of the study. Questionnaire was employed for teachers. From the total of 135 questionnaires administered to teachers, 132 were properly filled out and returned, providing a 97.7% response rate. For triangulation, an interview was made with total of 10 principals and science department heads. In addition, observation was held in the school laboratory.

3.1. General characteristics of the respondents

Table 2: Participants number by school, Sex, and Educational Background

Variables	Category	F	%
School	HawassaLangano	24	18.2
	Yirgalem	39	29.5
	Yirba	16	12.1
	Wondo Genet	33	25
	HabelaLida	20	15.2
Sex	Total	132	
	Male	79	59.8
	Female	53	40.2
	Total	132	
Academic qualification	College Diploma	11	8.3
	Bachelor's Degree	112	84.8
	Master's Degree	9	6.8
	Total	132	

As it is shown in Table 2, of the 132 teachers who participated in the study, the highest were Yirgalem and Mondo Genet 39 (29.5%), respectively, and the lowest was Yirba 16 (12.1%). Regarding the sex composition, the

proportion of male teachers outnumbered female teachers: 79 (59.8) males and 53 (40.2%) females. The data shows that there were a low proportion of female teachers in selected secondary schools, which contrasts with the Education Sector Development Program IV (MoE, 2010).

Concerning the academic qualifications, 112 (84.8%) were Bachelor's Degree holders, 11 (8.3%) were College Diploma holders, and the remaining 9 (6.8%) were Master's Degree holders. As per MoE policy, teachers of secondary schools are expected to have at least a first degree to teach in Ethiopian secondary schools (MOCS, 2011). Thus, having qualified with the first degree of the majority of the participants in the level of education contributes to corresponding resemblances in positive perceptions and the performance of inquiry-based teaching methods in teaching natural science subjects.

Table 3: Participants services in year and subject teach

Variable	Category	F	%
Services in Year	1-5	28	21.2
	6-10	60	45.5
	11-15	35	26.5
	16-20	7	5.3
	21 & above	2	1.5
Subject teach	Biology	31	23.5
	Mathematics	33	25
	Chemistry	29	22
	Sport	14	10.6
	Physics	25	18.9

Table 3 illustrates that based on their experiences in teaching; teachers have been grouped into five. Thus, 28 (21.2%) have below five years of teaching experience, 60 (45.5%) have between 6 and 10 years, 35 (26.5%) have between 11 and 15, 7 (5.3%) have between 16 and 20, and the remaining 2 (1.5%) have greater than 20 years of teaching experience. This can show that a considerable number of teachers have teaching experiences that would help them implement inquiry-based teaching methods. Moreover, of the participating teachers, 33 (25%) were in mathematics, followed by 31 (23.5%), 29 (22%), 25 (18.9%), and 14 (10.6%) in biology, chemistry, physics, and sport, respectively.

3.2 Science Teachers' Perception of the Use of IBT Methods

Teachers' perception and views on the use of inquiry-based teaching methods have had a profound effect on their performances when using these methods in teaching natural science subjects. Accordingly, items related to assumptions about inquiry-based teaching methods and their advantages were presented for teachers to describe their perception using a Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*).

Table 4: Frequency of teachers' perception of the use of inquiry-based teaching methods (n=132)

No	Items of Teachers' perceptions of the use of IB teaching methods	Teachers' response	
		Mean	Std. dev.
1	I think that inquiry based methods helps my learners to develop experimental skills	4.32	.68
2	I feel confidence when students perform practical work collaboratively in natural science subjects	4.33	.72
3	I prefer my learners to design their own inquiries	3.55	.85
4	I think that inquiry- based teaching methods encourage active participation of students	4.17	.73
5	I believe that inquiry-based teaching methods encourage creativity, and innovative ideas of students	4.08	.76
6	I think inquiry-based learning imparts problem-solving skills in learners and higher order thinking in natural science subjects.	4.18	.78
7	I am sure that inquiry activities facilitate students working in groups in natural science.	3.80	.90
8	I believe that inquiry-based learning is one of the learner-centered strategies where learners construct of knowledge under the guidance of teachers	3.86	.84
9	I believe that students best learn when they get opportunities to explore problems	3.92	.83
10	I think that inquiry-based learning methods enhance students' conceptualization and understanding and contextualization of contents in science	3.58	.79
11	I think secondary schools have strong motivations to work in collaboration with school of teacher education in HU	3.29	.57
Grand mean		3.97	

Source: Field survey, 2023

Scale of interpretation <1.49-Strongly Disagree, 1.5-2.49 Disagree, 2.5-3.49 Undecided, 3.5-4.49 Agree, >4.5 strongly Agree

As shown in Table 4, the mean scores of teachers' responses for items 1 and 2 were (M = 4.32, SD =.68, and (M = 4.33, SD =.72) respectively. They agreed that IBT methods help

learners develop experimental skills and feel confident when they perform practical work collaboratively in natural science subjects.

Besides, the mean score of the respondents for item number 3 was ($M = 3.55$, $SD = .85$), which shows that the respondents agreed that they prefer learners to design their own inquiries. Likewise, the participants mean scores for items 4 and 5 were ($M = 4.17$, $SD = .73$) and ($M = 4.08$, $SD = .76$), respectively. This infers that the participants agreed that the use of IBT methods encourages active participation, creativity, and innovative ideas among students in natural science subject learning.

Moreover, the participants mean scores for items 6, 7 and 8 were ($M = 4.18$, $SD = .78$), ($M = 3.80$, $SD = .90$), and ($M = 3.86$, $SD = .84$), respectively. This revealed that the participants agreed that the use of IBT methods imparts problem-solving skills in learners and higher-order thinking in natural science subjects, facilitates students working in groups, and makes students construct knowledge under the guidance of teachers.

Furthermore, the participants mean scores for items 9 and 10 were found to be ($M = 3.92$, $SD = .83$) and ($M = 3.53$, $SD = .79$), respectively. This indicates that the teachers agreed on the perception that IBT methods make students learn best when they get opportunities to explore

problems and enhance their conceptualization of contents in science.

As it could be understood from the above computed mean values, the grand mean ($GM = 3.79$) shows that teachers have a positive perception of the use of IBT methods in teaching natural science subjects. Across all items, teachers have a similar level of agreement on their perception of IBT methods. The interview results also align with the survey response, reporting that teachers have positive perceptions of the use of IBT methods in teaching natural science subjects. For instance, one of the mathematics department heads replied that:

"I believe that inquiry-based teaching methods facilitate teachers' ability to impart problem-solving skills to learners through higher-order thinking activities, which contribute to students' deep understanding of concepts in science subjects. Our teachers developed positive attitudes towards using IBT methods in the teaching and learning of natural science subjects" (DH2, April 2023).

Furthermore, one of the interviewee suggested that

"The secondary schools are expected to work in collaboration with school of teacher education pertaining to active learning, continuous assessment, classroom management, and action

researches. However, as technology transfer village school, my school is not supported both technologically, professionally and technically (DHL3, April 2023).

1. The results of this study is consistent with those obtained from previous studies (Ruzaman, 2020), which explained that teachers need to have a constructive perspective through the proper use of inquiry-based learning and that learners are expected to construct their knowledge under the guidance of the teacher.

3.3 Science teachers' Performing of the use of IBT Methods

Teachers' effective practices of IBT methods during the teaching and learning of natural sciences subjects are paramount in the 21st century, which allow teachers to collaborate with students in their constructing knowledge, solving problems, and understanding the concepts of science. In view of this, to describe the extent to which natural science teachers perform IBT methods during their instruction, teachers were asked to provide their responses using a questionnaire designed on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (*never*) to 5 (*always*) perform.

Table 5: Frequency of teachers' performing of inquiry-based teaching methods (n =132)

No	Items of Teachers' performing of IBT methods	Teachers' response	
		Mean	Std. dev.
1	I prepare and show practical demonstrations for students	2.62	.69
2	I allow student to perform hands-on and minds-on practical activities	2.95	.80
3	I encourage students' participation in discussion and argument in learning	2.84	.81
4	I make students to propose solutions for practical problems.	2.99	.80
5	I make students to actively participate in the inquiry-based learning	2.28	.75
6	I provide students with laboratory practices, projects and assignments to improve their leaning potential.	1.93	.73
7	I follow precautions and try the practical work myself when preparing for the lesson	2.78	.80
8	I give learners a guideline with clear instruction they follow while they are doing practical activities.	2.74	.79
9	I make learners to analyze and synthesize experimental data or results.	2.17	.67
10	I make students learn by finding solutions to problems through working collaboratively and independently.	2.47	.75
11	I working with school of teacher education to improve my pedagogical skills required in classrooms	2.39	.68
Grand mean		2.57	

Source: Field survey, 2023 Scale of Interpretation:

Never <1.49, *Rarely* =1.5- 2.49, *Sometimes* = 2.5- 3.49, *Often* = 3.5- 4.49, *Always* = 4.5- 5.0

As indicated in the above Table 5 for items 1 and 2, the mean scores of the teachers' responses were ($=2.62$, $SD = .69$) and ($M = 2.9$, $SD = .80$), respectively. This is evident from the fact that they sometimes prepare and show practical demonstrations for students and allow them to perform hands-on and mind-on practical activities in science teaching. Likewise, the mean scores of teachers for items 3 and 4 were ($M = 2.84$, $SD = .81$) and ($M = 2.99$, $SD = .80$), respectively. This indicates science teachers sometimes encourage students' participation in discussion and argument in learning and make students propose solutions for practical problems.

Yet, as it is clearly indicated from items 5 and 6, the mean values of teachers responses were ($M = 2.28$, $SD = .75$) and ($M = 1.93$, $SD = .73$), respectively. This is evident from the fact that sciences teachers rarely make students actively participate in inquiry-based learning and provide students with laboratory practices, projects, and assignments to improve their learning potential.

Again, the mean scores for item 7 and 8 teachers responses were ($M = 2.78$ $SD = .80$) and ($M = 2.74$ $SD = .79$), respectively. This shows that teachers sometimes follow safety measures and try the practical work them when preparing for the lesson and give learners a guideline with clear instructions they follow while they are doing practical activities.

However, from items 9 and 10, the mean scores of the teachers' responses were ($=2.17$, $SD = .67$) and ($M = 2.47$, $SD = .75$), respectively. This reveals that natural science teachers rarely make learners analyze and synthesize experimental data and make students learn by finding solutions to problems through working collaboratively and independently. Therefore, the aggregated grand mean value ($GM = 2.57$) of teachers' responses to performing IBT methods in the teaching and learning process of natural science falls in the range of "sometimes". Across most items, teachers reported a similar level of frequency. However, the results of interviews with school principals and department heads differ from the survey responses from teachers, who informed us that teachers rarely integrate IBT methods in their teaching and learning of natural science. For example, one of the school principals reported that:

"In my school, teachers are unable to implement IBT methods in the teaching and learning of natural sciences because of different reasons. To mention some of them: large class size, lack of teachers' skill to integrate IBT methods, absence of lab and chemicals, etc." (SP2, April 2023).

In addition to this, one of the chemistry department heads added that:

"Frankly speaking, in our school, teachers hardly utilize IBT methods during instruction of natural science subjects. They simply use the tradition of teacher-centered teaching methods

during teaching and learning of natural science subjects the same to other subjects' (DH3, April 2023).

The findings are in agreement with the findings of the previous study by Zafu and Wudu (2018), who described that the majority of teachers had awareness of IBTM; they believed that IBTM was useful for students learning but did not use it in their classroom instruction.

3.4 Comparison between Male and Female and Teacher of Various Disciplines

In this section, comparisons between male and female teachers' and teachers teaching different subjects were examined on their performance of IBT methods.

3.4.1 Comparison between Male and Female Teachers

The significance differences among secondary school teachers were checked using the independent variable sex, and the result given is illustrated in Table 7.

Table 7: Group Statistics on integration of IBT methods by sex

Dependent Variable	Sex	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error of the mean
Teachers performing of IBT methods	Male	79	2.64	.336	.037
	Female	53	2.53	.313	.043

Source: ANOVA output

As presented in Table 7, the mean and standard deviations of the scores on the IBT method of the two groups revealed that the integration of IBT method score of male teachers is ($M = 2.64$) and the standard deviation is ($SD = .336$). In the same way, the integration of IBT methods score of female teachers is ($M = 2.53$), and the standard deviation is ($SD = .313$).

The results of Levene's test for equality of variance and the 95% confidence interval were computed, and the obtained result is presented in Table 8 below.

Table 8: Independent Sample T-test between Male and Female Teachers

Levene's Test for equality of variance				T-test for Equality of means					
Assumption of equality of variance	F	Sig.	t	df	Statistic	Mean Difference	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval of the difference	
Equal variances assumed	.040	.833	1.13	130	.069	.10654	.05820	-.081	.202
Equal variances not assumed			1.13	116	.066	.10654	.05739	-.070	.200

Source: ANOVA output

*=there is no significant difference, $p > .05$

The Levene's test for equality of variances in Table 8 illustrated that the variances are not equal since the p value of .835 is not statistically significant. The implication is that the first row's equal variance assumed value was utilized. The difference between integration of IBT method scores for male teachers ($M = 2.64$, $SD = .336$) and for female teachers ($M = 2.53$, $SD = .313$) is .106. The 95% confidence level for this difference is .008-221. Since, this confidence interval include 106, there is no statistically significant difference at the two-tailed 5% level. This infers that female teachers are similar to their respective male teachers in their performance of IBT methods in secondary schools.

3.4.2 Comparison within Teachers Teaching Different Science Subjects

To examine whether there are significant differences between teachers teaching different subjects on the implementation of IBT methods, a one-way ANOVA was computed, and the result is presented in the following Table 9 below:

Table 9. ANOVA Summary of differences on the integration of IBT methods among Teachers

Sources of variations	Analysis of one-way ANOVA				
	Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.857	4	.214	2.021	.095*
Within Groups	13.472	12	.106		
Total	14.329	13			

Source: ANOVA output

*=there is no significant difference, $p > .05$

As illustrated in Table 9, the probability of the F-ratio is .095. Since this value is greater than the critical p value of .05, There was no a statistically significant difference. Because the mean scores of teachers teaching different subjects using IBT methods are similar. This infers that there is no statistically significant difference among teachers of different subjects on the practice of "IBT methods in their instruction of sciences.

3.5 Major Challenges Those Hinder Teachers to Integrate IBT Methods

In the course of teaching and learning natural science subjects, there are challenges raised by teachers, school principals, and other educational experts that impede teachers from integrating IBT methods into natural science instruction. Teachers questionnaires were presented using a three-level Likert scale ranging from 1 (least challenging) to 3 (most challenging). In addition, qualitative data were gathered using open-ended questions for

teachers, interviews with the principal as well as the department head, and observation of the secondary school lab. The results are presented in Table 6, ordered from most challenging to least challenging.

Table 10: Major challenges to teachers in the integration of IBT methods (n=132)

No	Possible challenges to Teachers' performing of IBT methods	Teachers' response	
		Mean	Std. dev.
1	Lack of instructional materials	2.81	.43
2	Absence of laboratory equipment, chemicals and manuals	2.70	.47
3	Large class size	2.66	.55
4	Lack of creativity and innovation of teachers	2.62	.53
5	Dominance of traditional lecture method over inquiry based teaching methods	2.61	.54
6	Lack of teachers' competences of implementing inquiry-based teaching methods	2.59	.61
7	Lack of technical and professional supports for teachers from school principals	2.10	.64
8	Ineffective cooperation and interaction between teaching staff members	1.69	.68
9	Shortage of instructional time	1.37	.51

Source: Field survey, 2023

Scale of Interpretation : < 1.49 Least challenging, =1.5- 2.49 Moderate challenging, and 2.5- 3.0 Most challenging.

As shown in Table 10, the major barriers to teachers integrating IBT methods were lack of instructional materials, absence of laboratory equipment, chemicals, and manuals, large class size, lack of creativity and innovation among teachers, dominance of traditional lecture

method over inquiry-based teaching methods, and lack of teachers' competence in implementing inquiry-based teaching methods.

Besides, using an open-ended question, teachers were asked to add if there were other major challenges to performing IBT methods. Most of the respondents pinpointed the lack of students' interest and motivation, the amount of content expected to be covered, and the lack of instructional resources.

Secondary school principals and department heads were interviewed about the major challenges that affect the integration of IBT methods in their schools. The results extracted from the interview transcripts were analyzed to substantiate the quantitative data gathered from teachers. Secondary school principals reported that a lack of instructional materials was one of the major challenges hindering teachers' practice of IBT methods. For example, one school principal reported that:

"Lack of instructional equipment, particularly for biology, chemistry, physics, and sports, is a challenge. The absence of laboratory chemicals and equipment affected teachers and students' ability to actually practice performance-based activities in their instruction of natural science subjects" (SP2, April 2023).

In addition to this, one of the physics department heads added that:

"Lack of laboratory equipment and skilled technicians, lack of instructional media and technologies, and limited school facilities are the big challenges for secondary school teachers to perform IBT methods and make students do practical activities in natural science subjects (DH5, April 2023).

Besides this, the results of observation show that most of the school labs observed lack lab equipment's, trained technicians, and chemicals for science teaching and learning in relation to this Trautmann et al. (2004) indicate that teachers doubt their ability to engage in inquiry learning in classroom practices. Likewise, Ramnarian (2016) established that the absence of professional training and insufficient resources negatively affected proper implementation of IBL. This finding is in line with the findings of Girma (2023) which suggested that collegiality is essential in teachers' professional competency development because teachers make communication and interactions in small groups at the department level when supported with mentoring and coaching practices

4. Conclusions

Based on the findings of the study, the following conclusions were drawn in light of the basic research questions: The use of IBT methods is paramount in the teaching and learning of natural science subjects. Interestingly, inquiry-

based learning seemed to be effective for the acquisition of practical skills and the development of higher-order thinking skills in students. The findings of the study revealed that natural science teachers have a positive perception of the use of IBT methods in the target secondary schools. These help teachers to be motivated to implement IBT methods. The findings of the study also show that natural science teachers rarely perform IBT methods in their instruction of natural science subjects; the results of the T-test and one-way ANOVA revealed that there is a statistically significant difference between teachers because of sex and teaching different subjects. From this, it is concluded that teachers' quality teaching and learning could not be achieved without regular use of IBT methods in natural science subjects. Thus, it was concluded that in-service training would be given to teachers to equip them with the necessary skills for implementing IBT methods.

5. Recommendations

In line with the major findings obtained and conclusions reached, the following recommendations were forwarded for the betterment of IBT methods in secondary schools in Hawassa University technology transfer villages.

1. Secondary school science teachers should integrate IBT methods regularly to provide quality instruction for the students.
2. Secondary school science teachers should collaborate and share experiences on the practical integration of IBT methods into natural science instruction.
3. Hawassa University's College of Education should provide in-service training for teachers to enhance their use of IBT methods in natural science instruction.
4. Sidama Educational Bureau, Hawassa University School of teacher education, and support secondary schools in applying IBT methods by providing instructional materials like laboratory equipment and chemicals.
5. Principals and supervisors should work on teachers' capacity building practices of using inquiry based teaching methods in classrooms.
6. Policymakers, student textbook writers' secondary school administrators, and teachers themselves should advocate IBT methods that develop students' scientific reasoning, problem solving, and creative skills.

6. Limitations and Further Research

This study has not accomplished without limitations and the researchers tried to provide clear picture through making proper analysis of

the different variables associated with implementation of IBT method in secondary school it requires further interventions through design based study lesson studies.

7. Acknowledgments

The researchers acknowledge the primary sources of data for the willingness they have shown while providing responses during the preliminary and main studies. We acknowledge Hawassa University for financial coverage while conducting this research.

References

- Anderson, R. D. 2002. Reforming science as inquiry: What research says about inquiry? *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 13 (1), 1-12.
- Bruner, J. 1960. *The process of education*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Chen, Y., Mineweaser, L., Accetta, D. & Noonan, D. 2018. Connecting argumentation to 5E inquiry for per service teachers. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 47(1), 22-28.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. 2018. *Research methods in education* (8th. Ed.). Routledge.
10.4324/9781315456539. https://ucalgary.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?vid=01ucalg_inst:ucalgary&tab=quicksearch&isfrbr=true&docid=alma991028142778104336&searchscope=everything&context=l&lang=en
- Creswell, J.W. 2014. *Research design, qualitative and mixed approaches* (4th. Ed.). London. Sage Publication
- Creswell, J. W., & David, C. & J. 2018. *Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (Fifth edit). SAGE Publications, Inc.

- Dagnew, A. & Mekonnen D. 2020. Effect of using guided inquiry teaching method in improving grade eight students' concept of photosynthesis, primary school: Ethiopia. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Education* (1), 01-16. <https://doi.org/10.18844/ijire.v7i1.4655>
- Dewey, J. 1996. Essays. In L. Hickman (Ed.), *Collected work of John Dewey, 1882-1953: The electronic edition*. Charlottesville, VA: IntelLex Corporation.
- Dillon, J.T., 2009. The questions of curriculum, *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 41(3), 343-359.
- ETP .1994. Education and Training Policy of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa.
- Girma, M. Geletu, 2022. The effects of teachers' professional and pedagogical competencies on implementing cooperative learning and enhancing students' learning engagement and outcomes in science: Practices and changes. *Cogent Education*, 9 (1), 1-22, <https://doi.org/10.1080/2153434.2022.2153434>, Taylor and Francis Publishing.
- Girma, M. Geletu. 2023. The effects of pedagogical mentoring and coaching on primary school teachers' professional development practices and students' learning engagements in classrooms in Oromia regional state: implications for professionalism. *Education 3-13, International Journal of Primary, Elementary and Early Years Education*, 51(8): <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2023.2293209>. Taylor and Francis Publishing.
- Gudyanga, R. & Jita, L.C. 2019. Teachers' implementation of laboratory practical in the South African Physical Sciences curriculum. *Issues in Educational Research*, 29 (3), 715-731 .
- Keller, M.M, Neumann, K .& Fischer, H.E. 2016. The impact of physics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and motivation on students' achievement and interest. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 54, pp. 586-614.
- MOCS. 2011. Teachers' career structures and salary scale revision study report. Addis Ababa.
- Mugabo, R.L. 2015. Science teachers' understanding of inquiry-based science teaching (IBST): Case of Rwandan lower secondary school science teachers, *Rwandan Journal of Education*, 3 (1), 77-90.
- Muijs, D. 2004. *Doing quantitative research in education with SPSS* (1st ed.). London, Thousand Oaks: New Delhi: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- National Research Council 2000. Inquiry and the national science education standards: A guide for teaching and learning. Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Petousi., V. and Sifaki, E. 2020. Contextualizing harm in the framework of research misconduct. Findings from a discourse analysis of scientific publications, *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 23(3/4), 149-174, <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSD.2020.10037655>.
- Ramnarain, U. & Hlatshwayo, M. 2018. Teacher beliefs and attitudes about inquiry based learning in a rural school district in South Africa. *South African Journal of Education*, 38(1), 1-10.
- Ramnarain, U. 2015. Understanding the influence of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on inquiry-based science education at township schools in South Africa. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 53 (4), 598-869.
- Ramnarain, U. 2016. Understanding the influence of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on inquiry-based science education at township schools in South Africa. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 53(4), 598-619.



- Ruzaman, N. 2020. Inquiry-Based Education: Innovation in Participatory Inquiry Paradigm. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 15 (10), 4-15.
- Trautmann, N., MaKinster, J., & Avery, L. 2004. *What makes inquiry so hard?(and why is it worth it?)*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the national association for research in science teaching, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Tschannen-Moran, M. & Woolfolk-Hoy, A. 2006. The differential antecedents of self-efficacy beliefs of novice teachers and experience teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23 (2), 944-956.
- Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. 2001. Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(7), 783-805.
- Windschitl, M., 2000. *Pre-service Science Teachers and Independent Inquiry Experience*. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED441703).
- Yin, R.K. 2018. *Case study research: Design and methods* (5th. Ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publisher.
- Zafu, A. & Wudu, M. 2018. Secondary School Science Teachers' Conceptions, Perceptions, and Practices of the Inquiry-Based Teaching Method, *Bulgarian Journal of Science and Education Policy*, 12 (2), 435-459.
- Zoller, U. 2011. Science and technology education in the STES context in primary schools: What should it take? *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 20 (5), 444-453.