Research Article

Effects of Elevations on Carbon Stocks of Kella Natural Forests in Konso Zone, Southern Ethiopia

Biruk Lulseged[1*], Mesele Negash[1]

Abstract

Different anthropogenic and biophysical factors can affect woody species diversity and carbon stocks along the elevation gradients. This study, thus, was conducted to evaluate the impact of elevation on carbon stock potential in Kalla forest, Konso zone, Sothern Ethiopia. The study was undertaken along three elevation gradients, namely, low (LE) (1,605-1,690 m), middle (ME) (1,691-1,775 m) and high (HE) (1,776-1,860 m) elevations. A total of 60 sample plots (20 m x 20 m) were systematically laid down along the elevation gradients at interval of 200 m between transects and 50 m between sample plots. In each main plot a 5 sub plots (four from corners and one at the center) with 1 m x 1m were used to collect litter and soil samples. A total of 120 soil samples for soil chemical analysis and 120 samples for bulk density determination were taken separately. The total ecosystem carbon stocks (biomass plus soil, 0-60 cm) were significantly different ($p < 0.05$) across the three studied elevations. The LE showed higher ecosystem carbon stock (301.8 ± 171.6 t C ha^{-1}) than ME (255.6±88.2) and HE (190.8± 58.2). The SOC stock (0 - 60 cm depth), standing biomass and litter accounted for 90.8 %, 6.6 % and 2.6% respectively in the LE whereas 93.0 %, 5.1 % and 1.9 % in the ME and. 92.1%, 6.3% and 1.6% in the HE. *Juniperus procera* and *Euphorbia tirucalli* contributed altogether 81 % of the total biomass carbon stocks in the LE and both species shared 60 % in the ME whereas *Euclea racemosa* and *Juniperus procera* accounted for 48% of the total biomass carbon stock in HE. The total above ground biomass carbon stocks were significantly correlated with the species diversity. Finally, this study affirms that elevation affect woody species diversity and total carbon stocks in Kalla forest, Sothern Ethiopia.

[1]

1. Introduction

The reductions of emission from deforestation and forest degradation by managing the existing forests sustainably bring financial and technical incentives from industrialized nations to developing countries through REDD+. To tap this opportunity, accurate and consistent data that meet international standards while creating favorable policy environment are the most important requirements (IPCC 2006).

In effect, reliable estimates of biomass, liter and soil carbon are needed to understand the contribution of forests to reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide. Measuring and estimating carbon stocks and changes in various pools are very important for carbon trading (Yitebitu et al. 2010). This calls researchers to direct their interests to quantify forest carbon stocks following standardized carbon stock accounting method. Currently the demand of reliable information regarding forest carbon stock both at

[1]Hawassa University Wondo Genet College of Forestry and Natural Resources, P. O. Box 128, Shashemene, Ethiopia
*Corresponding author: biruklul874@gmail.com

national and global levels is growing (Genene et al. 2013).

However, several environmental factors such as temperature, precipitation, atmospheric pressure, solar and UV-B radiation, and wind velocity changes systematically with altitude affect the biomass productivity in forest ecosystem. An altitudinal gradient is among the most powerful ''natural experiments'' for testing ecological and evolutionary responses of biota to environmental changes (Fang et al. 2005). Although changes in species composition and distribution, biodiversity and community structure along altitudinal gradients have been well documented in the past few decades (Fang et al. 2005), the altitudinal patterns of carbon storage and partition among components (vegetation, detritus and soil) of forest ecosystems remain to be poorly studied (Fang et al. 2005).

Besides, in Ethiopia, as one of the country in the tropics, little is known about inter site and temporal variability of forest biomass compared to other parts of the tropics (Chave et al. 2001; Abel Girma et al. 2014). Periodic forest inventories and monitoring in the country are lacking even though they are most useful in order to evaluate the magnitude of carbon fluxes between aboveground biomass (AGB) and the atmosphere. Several reports affirm that Ethiopia has limited information about carbon stocks of forest in regional and local context (Adugna Feyissa et al. 2013; Abel Girma et al. 2014). In Konso wereda there are Kalla and Pamale forests, those are belong to Kalla and Pamale family respectively. These forests are rich in woody species diversity and managed culturally by family kings since ancient time. Similarly, in Konso specifically in Kalla forests there is limited studies that describe the effect of elevation gradient on woody species and carbon stock. The objective of this study, therefore, is to investigate the effect of elevation gradients on carbon stocks of Kalla Forest, southern Ethiopia.

2. Methods and materials

2.1 Description of Study Area

Kalla natural forest is located in Konso zone in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples' Region (SNNPR) of Ethiopia. Konso district is found 356 km far away from Hawassa City to South, and 562 km far from Addis Ababa, Capital city of Ethiopia (Figure 1). Geographically, the study area is located at 5°19' 60" N and 37°19'60" E in the Great Rift Valley. Soil texture is sandy loamy and pH almost neutral (6.97).


Figure 1: Study area map

2.2 Vegetation

According to zone agricultural office and personal observation, in Konso zone there are vegetation covers managed by government and clan king. Kings' managed forest includes Pamale and Kalla forests. This forests are dry afromontane forests and located at elevation ranges between 1605 m and 1860 m.a.s.l and cover about 205 ha. Konso people are internationally known by traditional tracing, which is registered by UNESCO as world heritage. In crop production areas of the farms, *Moringa stenopetala* (Haleko) is the dominant woody species while *J. procera, Euphorbia tirucalli, Acacia senegal, Acokaathera schimpori* and *Euclea racemosa* are the most abundant woody species in natural forests.

2.3 Sampling techniques and sample layout

A systematic sampling technique was employed. The forest was divided into three elevation gradients, namely, low (here after referred as LE) (1605 m – 1690 m), middle (ME) (1691 m -1775 m) and high elevation (HE) (1776 m- 1860 m).

Narrow elevation ranges was fixed owing to steep slope nature of the studied forest. In each of elevation category, transect lines were laid along the contour at center of each elevation ranges 30 m away from the forest edge to avoid border effect. Sample plots of 20 m x 20 m were laid down along the transect lines at 200 m distance between them and at 50 m interval distance between sample plots. A total of 60 sample plots were surveyed (20 in each elevation category). The first sample plot was randomly assigned at the beginning of the transect line. Inside each main plot, five subplots (1m x 1 m), four at the corners, and one at the center were established to collect soil samples and litter.

2.4 Data collection methods

Inventory
The inventory of woody plants in sample plots included the measurement of stem diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 5 cm, diameter at stump height (DSH) and total height (H). The field survey was conducted during the dry season of December and January, 2017. DBH and DSH were measured at 1.3 m and 30 cm from the ground, respectively (Alamgir and Al-Amin 2008). Individual tree height in each plot was systematically measured using a clinometer and a graduated pole for low trees. In case of multi-stemmed woody species, each stem was measured separately and the equivalent diameter of plant was calculated as the square root of the sum of diameters of all stems per plant (Snowdon et al. 2002). Woody vegetation identification was done in the field using key informants and each vernacular name was translated to their botanical names using flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea (Hedberg et al. 1995; Edwards et al. 1997; Edwards et al. 2000); useful trees and shrubs for Ethiopia (Azene Bekele 2007) and Woldemichael et al. (2010).

Litter sampling
Litter samplings were collected from five 1 m x 1 m subplots from each main plot and mixed to make a composite sample. Fresh weight of litter samples were recorded in the field using string balance. Then a 100 g sub-sample was taken and transported to Hawassa University WGCFNR

soil laboratory to determine dry to fresh weight ratios.

Soil sampling
Soil samples were collected from five pits arranged within four corners and from central point. The pits were dug to 60 cm depth and soil samples taken uniformly along 0 - 30 and 30 - 60 cm soil depths by hand trowel. A total 120 soil samples (40 from each) from the two depths were taken along the three studied elevation gradients. Similar size of soil sample plots were collected separately for bulk density determination. A sharp edged steel cylinder corer (height 15 cm and diameter 7.2 cm) had been forced manually into the soil for drawing the samples for bulk density.

2.5 Laboratory work for litter and soil samples

Determination of litter dry biomass and carbon content analysis
The collected litter samples were air-dried first and oven-dried for 24 hours at 65 ℃ until it attains constant weight. Then, the samples were weighed, ground using mortar and pesto then sieve with 2 mm mash. The loss on ignition (LOI) method was used to estimate percentage of carbon in the litter. From the oven dried grinded sample 3.00 g of each litter sub sample was taken in pre-weighted crucibles, and then put in the furnace at 550 ℃ for two hours to ignite (Negash and Starr 2013). The crucibles were cooled slowly for two hours inside the furnace. After cooling, the crucibles with ash were weighed and loss of organic matter fraction was calculated according to Allen et al. (1986).

Soil analysis
The collected soil samples were air-dried, ground, homogenized and then sieved with a 2 mm mesh size sieve. Bulk density was estimated using oven dried samples at 105 ℃ for 48 hours. Soil organic carbon was determined using Walkley & Black Method (Walkley and Black 1934), soil particle sizes for < 2 mm fractions by Boycouos hydrometic method (Bouyoucos 1962), bulk density using core method (Blake and Hartge 1986) and soil pH using digital pH (Carter 1993).

2.5 Data Analysis

Carbon stock estimation
The above ground biomass of each elevation range with dbh $\geq$ 5 cm was estimated using model developed by Chave et al. (2014). This model was selected, because it was developed for a wide range of climatic conditions and vegetation types. This model uses the most important biomass predictor variables such as diameter at breast height, wood density and total height. This model is currently being proposed for inclusion in the IPCC Emission Factor Database and used by REDD+ protocols.

$$AGB \text{ (kg)} = 0.0673 \times (p \times DBH^2 \times h)^{0.976}$$

$$AGB \text{ carbon stock} = AGB * 0.5$$

Where: AGB Aboveground biomass (kg/tree), p Wood density, g/cm3, DBH Diameter at breast height, cm, (DBH in ranging from 5-158 cm), h Height (m)

Since direct measurement of BGB is expensive and time consuming task, it is derived from AGB (root: shoot ratio). The BGB is estimated to 20% of AGB (Gibbs et al., 2007; and Ponce-Hernandez 2004):

$$BGB = 0.2 \times AGB$$

Where BGB belowground biomass, kg/plant, AGB aboveground biomass, kg/plant

Extrapolating carbon stocks from a per plot basis into a per hectare basis requires the use of expansion factors. This standardization is required so that results can be easily interpreted and also compared to other studies. According to Pearson et al. (2005), the expansion factor is calculated as the area of a hectare in square meters divided by the area of the sample in square meters, that is:

$$\text{Biomass Expansion Factor} = \frac{10,000 \text{ m}^2}{\text{Area of plot, frame or soil core m}^2}$$

Litter dry biomass and their carbon estimation
The dry biomass of herb was calculated using the equation below (Pearson et al. 2005)

$$LDM = \frac{\text{Sub sample dry mass} \times \text{Fresh mass of the whole sample}}{\text{Sub sample fresh mass}}$$

Where, LDM (Litter dry biomass)

The expansion factor to hectare was converted using expansion factor = 10,000 m^2/Area of plot (m^2).

The percentage of organic carbon was calculated as
$$\text{Ash} = \frac{(W3 - W1)}{(W2 - W1)} \times 100$$

$$C\% = (100 - \% \text{ Ash}) \times 0.5$$

Percentage carbon content was estimated as 50% of OM (Berhe et al. 2013)

Where
C Biomass carbon stock, W1 Weight of crucible, W2 Weight of the oven-dried grind sample and crucible, W3 Weight of ash and crucible

The carbon density of herbaceous plant was then calculated by multiplying biomass of herbs per unit area with the percentage of carbon determined for each sample.

$$CSL = LDM \times \%C$$

Where, CSL is the total carbon stock in dead litter in t per ha, % C is the carbon fractions determine in the laboratory (Pearson et al. 2005).

Soil analysis
To determine the SOC, firstly determine the bulk density using the formula

$$\text{Bulk density} = \frac{ODW}{CV - (RF/PD)}$$

Where CV Core volume (cm^3), ODW Oven-dry mass of fine fraction (<2 mm) in g, RF Mass of coarse fragments (>2 mm) in g, PD Density of

rock fragments (g/cm3). This often is given as 2.65 g/cm3,

SOC was calculated using (Pearson et al. 2007)
SOC (t ha^{-1}) = [(soil bulk density, (g/cm^3) × soil depth (cm) × %C)] × 100

In this equation % C was expressed as a decimal fraction;

2.6 Statistical analysis

A normality (Kolmogorov- Smirnov test) and equality of variance (Levene's test) was done to check the data, after that we had seen a normal distribution within data. The, we proceeded further statistical analysis. Elevation ranges (low elevation, middle elevation and high elevation) were independent variables while species richness, species diversities, density, basal area, DBH, height, soil organic carbon, biomass carbon stock, ecosystem carbon stock were dependent variables. The size and variation in species richness, diversity and C stocks for each elevation were described by the mean and standard deviation. Descriptive statistics was used to test for differences in woody species richness, diversity, soil carbon stock (0 - 60 cm) and ecosystem carbon stock between the elevations ranges. To find out the effect of elevation ranges (LE, ME and HE) and soil depths on soil organic carbon stock in two way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used. Kruskal - Wallis ANOVA was conducted to evaluate differences between LE, ME and HE in terms of woody species stand structure and biomass carbon stock. IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 21) was used for the statistical analysis (IBM Corp. Released 2012).

3. Results

3.1 Biomass carbon stocks
Above and belowground biomass carbon stocks of woody species significantly differed among LE, ME and HE (p<0.05) (Table 1). The above ground biomass carbon stocks accounted for 80 % of the total biomass carbon stock of across the three studied elevations. The total biomass

carbon stock recorded in LE was nearly 50% higher than ME and HE.

Table 1: Mean AGBC and BGBC t ha^{-1}) along three studied elevation gradients of Kalla Forest, southern Ethiopia. The value in the parenthesis indicates standard deviation

Elevation category	AGBC	BGBC	TBC	$p-value$
HE (n = 20)	96 (48) [a]	19.2 (9.6) [a]	115.5 (57.6)[a]	0.034
ME (n = 20)	108 (72) [b]	21.6 (14.4) [b]	129.6 (86.4)[b]	0.033
LE (n = 20)	162 (108) [b]	32.4 (21.6) [b]	194.4(129.6)[b]	0.035

Similar letters show no significant differences among groups and different letters indicate significant differences

Also as depicted in figure 2 below, *J. procera* and *E. tirucalli* in LE contributed altogether 79% of the total biomass carbon stocks. While *E. tirucalli* and *J. procera* in the ME and *E. racemosa* and *J. procera* in HE contributed altogether 69 and 48 % of the total biomass carbon stocks, respectively.


Figure 2: Proportion of biomass carbon stock contribution of the dominant tree species along the elevation gradients of Kalla Forest, southern Ethiopia
Species types[*] represents for LE, ME and HE is *E. tirucalli, E. tirucalli* and *E. racemosa* *r*espectively

3.2 Litter carbon stocks
There was no significant variation (p > 0.05) in the litter carbon stock among the three elevation categories. The average litter carbon stock estimated to be 4.74 ± 0.42 t ha^{-1} for HE, 4.86 ± 0.48 for ME and 5.04 ± 0.18 for LE.

3.3 Soil organic carbon stocks

The SOC stocks (t ha^{-1}) within 0 - 30 cm depth showed significant difference between the LE, ME and HE (p < 0.05). The surface layer (0 - 30 cm) contributed 58.5%, 61.3 % and 62.9% of the total SOC stock for the LE, ME and HE, respectively.

Table 2 : Mean soil carbon stock mean (t C ha^{-1}) of the three studied elevation categories of Kalla Forest, southern Ethiopia. The value in the parenthesis indicates standard deviation

Depth, cm	N	Elevation categories			p–value
		HE	ME	LE	
0 – 30	20	177.6 (58.8)[a]	242.4(87)[b]	282 (171.6)[b]	0.013
30 – 60	20	126.6 (60.6)[a]	148.2 (71.4)[b]	166.2 (85.2)[b]	0.030
0 – 60	40	153 (64.8)[a]	195 (91.8)[b]	224.4 (145.8)[b]	0.009

Similar letters show no significant differences among groups and different letters indicate significant differences

3.4 Ecosystem carbon stock

The LE showed higher ecosystem carbon stock (423.05±275.58t ha^{-1}) than ME (315.36±178.68) and HE (272.74±122.82 t ha^{-1}) (Figure 3). The SOC stock (0 - 60 cm depth), live biomass and litter accounted 90.8 %, 6.6 % and 2.6% in the LE whereas 93.0 %, 5.1 % and 1.9 % in the ME and.92.1%, 6.3% and 1.6% in the HE, respectively. The total ecosystem carbon stocks (biomass plus soil, 0 - 60cm) were significantly different (p< 0.05) among the LE, ME and HE.


Figure 3: Ecosystem carbon stocks (in biomass plus soil) along elevation gradients of Kalla Forest, southern Ethiopia

4. Discussion

4.1 Above and belowground biomass carbon stock

The higher biomass carbon stock in LE indicates that there is good comfort zone for woody species mainly due to better rainfall amount and temperature influence, stem density, diameter and height growth. Several studies in other parts of Ethiopia also reported similar findings (Hamere et al. 2015) and contradict with the findings of Thokchom and Yadava (2017). Moreover, the difference between HE and LE in above ground biomass carbon stock was comparable with those found by Alefu Chinasho et al. (2015). In contrast the total biomass carbon stock of this study also somewhat lower as compared with study conducted in highlands of Oromia, Ethiopia (Adugna Feyissa and Teshome Soromessa, 2017) and in mid highlands found elsewhere in Ethiopia (Hailu et al., 2014). This could be due to variation in tree dendrological parameters measured, allometric equations applied, carbon fraction and root: shoot ratio used. Outside from Ethiopia, this study is also in line with similar studies conducted in other countries (Shazmeen 2015).

4.2 Litter biomass carbon stock

Higher litter biomass carbon stock in low elevation might be due to high abundance of species. The amount of litter fall and its carbon stock of the forest can be influenced by the forest vegetation (species, age and density), climate and relatively fast decomposition rate in the tropics. In overall, the smaller litter carbon sock in the study areas may be associated with less amount of litter fall and fast litter decomposition rate. In this study result indicates there was no significant difference between HE and ME in litter biomass carbon stock. This result is consistent with the findings of   Hamere et al.

(2015) and contradicts with the findings of Alefu Chinasho *et al*. (2015).

4.3 Soil organic carbon stock

Soil organic carbon plays a vital role in the global carbon cycle and C pools (Sundarapandian et al. 2015). The rate of soil organic carbon stock was significantly affected by changing in elevation (Girmay et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2009; Sundarapandian et al. 2015). The soil organic carbon in LE was higher than the adjacent ME and HE. This may be due to the accumulation of soil organic carbon on the quantity of litter and root activity such as rhizo - deposition and decomposition. This result was consistent with other studies in Ethiopia (Hamere et al. 2015) and in China (Biao et al. 2016). In contrast, (Alefu Chinasho et al. 2015) reported that elevation did not influence soil organic carbon. This study showed that SOC stock increased by 48 % through the low elevation over high elevation. This might be due to increased vegetation composition, reduced erosion loss and the subsequent production and decomposition of litter fall from vegetation.

In LE, there was higher litter biomass accumulation than middle elevation and high elevation. Litter fall contributes a major role for the return of organic matter to the soil (Liang et al. 2011). The higher soil organic matter accumulation in LE might also be related to the presence of *J. procera* for which the litter might be decompose and mineralized at slower rate. In contrast the mean SOC stock in the high elevation was lower owing to the low woody species abundance and the subsequent small litter fall that impacted the return of organic matter to the soil.

The present study showed soil organic carbon content decreases with soil depth. This might be due to the presence of lower accumulation of organic matter resulting from lower belowground root biomass in the sub-surface layer; which is similar with the justification of Yimer et al. (2015).

The total SOC stock (0-60 cm) in LE was higher than those reported from tropical dry forest that ranged between 33.36 and 48.82 t ha^{-1} (Sundarapandian et al. 2013). Our SOC stock in ME is substantially higher than those found in

African savannahs and woodlands at middle elevation, SOC stock ranged between 30 and 140 t ha^{-1} (Williams et al. 2008). Also, higher than SOC stock reported by Woollen et al. (2012) in the central Mozambique middle elevation woodlands (40.1 ± 2.5 Mg C ha^{-1}). Maintaining of higher SOC levels ensures the productivity of degraded land as well as regulating the climate system.

4.4 Ecosystem carbon stock

The contribution of SOC stock in the present was higher than the total biomass carbon stock. Similar results were reported in other studies (Mekuria et al. 2009; Mekuria 2013). The total ecosystem carbon stock (in biomass plus soil, 0-60cm) in the LE was higher than the report of Alefu Chinasho *et al*. (2015) and lower than other studies in Sothern Ethiopia (Mekuria et al., 2009; Mekuria 2013). The ecosystem carbon stock estimate with other sites may due to difference in the model used to estimate the biomass, variation in soil type, management of forest and topography.

5. Conclusion

The present study affirms elevation differences contribute to the variation in carbon stocks in the studied forest. The elevation effects mainly reflect the variation in climatic and edaphic factors. Total biomass carbon stock and soil organic carbon decrease as elevation increases. The low elevation in Kalla forest favors high accumulation of the carbon stocks in both the biomass and soils. In overall, our study show that Kalla indigenous forest can serve as a good candidate for REDD+ finical schemes.

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest

References

Abel Girma, Teshome Soromessa, Tesfaye Bekele (2014) Forest Carbon Stocks in Woody Plants of Mount Zequalla Monastery and its Variation along Altitudinal Gradient: Implication of Managing Forests for Climate Change Mitigation. Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal **3(2):** 133-141.

Adugna Feyissa, Teshome Soromessa (2017) Variations in Forest Carbon Stocks Along Environmental Gradients in Egdu Forest of Oromia Region, Ethiopia: Implications for Sustainable Forest Management. American Journal of Environmental Protection 6(1):1-8.

Adugna Feyissa, Teshome Soromessa, Mekuria Argaw (2013) Forest Carbon Stocks and Variations along Altitudinal Gradients in Egdu Forest: Implications of Managing Forests for Climate Change Mitigation. Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal 2(4): 40-46.

Alamgir M, Al-Amin M (2008) Allometric models to estimate biomass organic carbon stock in Tropical Forests. Journal of Tropical Ecology 150: 88– 101.

Alefu Chinasho, Teshome Soromessa, Eyale Bayable (2015) Carbon Stock in Woody Plants of Humbo Forest and its Variation along Altitudinal Gradients: The Case of Humbo District, Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. International Journal of Environmental Protection and Policy 3(4): 97-103.

Allen SE, Grimshaw HM, Rowland AP (1986) Chemical analysis. Methods in plant ecology (Moore PD, Chapman SN (eds). Black well scientific publication, Boston, USA. pp. 285-300

Azene Bekele (2007) Useful trees and shrubs of Ethiopia: Identification, propagation and management for 17 Agro climatic Zones. In: Tengnas B, Kelbesa E, Demissew S, Maundu P (eds). World Agroforestry Center, Nairobi. Biomass Allometries for Carbon Stocks Estimation in Secondary Forest of Congo. IOSR,

Berhe L, Assfa G, Teklay T (2013) Models for estimation of carbon sequestered by Cupressus lusitanica Plantation stands at Wondo Genet, Ethiopia, Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science, 75(3), 113-122

Biao EI, Alamou EA, Afouda A (2016) Improving rainfall–runoff modelling through the control of uncertainties under increasing climate variability in the Ouémé River basin (Benin, West Africa). Hydrological Sciences Journal 61(16):2902-2915.

Blake G, Hartage K (1986) Methods of soil analysis part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods.

Carter MR (1993) Soil sampling and methods of analysis. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton

Chave J, Réjou-Méchain M, Búrquez A, Búrquez A, Chidumayo E, Colgan M, Delitti W et al. (2014) Improved Allometric Models to Estimate the Aboveground Biomass of Tropical Trees. Global Change Biology 20: 3177-3190.

Chave J, Rieâra B, Dubois M (2001) Estimation of Biomass in a Neotropical Forest of French Guiana: Spatial and Temporal Variability. Journal of Tropical Ecology 17:79-96.

Edwards S, Mesfin T, Sebsebe D, Hedberg I (2000) Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea, Vol.2 Department of Systematic Botany, Upsala University, Upsala.

Edwards S, Sebsebe D, Hedberg I (Eds.) (1997) Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea, Vol. 6. The National Herbarium, Addis Abeba University, Addis Abeba and Department of Systematic Botany, Uppsala University, Uppsala.

Fang JY, Oikawa T, Kato T, Mo WH, Wang ZH (2005) Biomass carbon accumulation by Japan's forests from 1947 to 1995. Global Biogeochemistry Cycle 19:GB2004. doi:10.1029/2004GB002253.

Feyera Senbeta (2006) Biodiversity and Ecology of Afromontane Rainforests with wild Coffee forest, Jimma Zone, South Western Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal Biological Science, 7(1): 1-15.forest vegetation.

Journal of Forestry Research 19 (2): 101-106.

Genene Asseffa, Tefera Mengistu, Zerihun Getu and Sollomon Zewdie (2013) Training Manual on: Forest Carbon Pools and Carbon Stock Assessment in the Context of SFM and REDD+ Wondo Genet, Ethiopia.

Gibbs H, Brown S, Niles J, Foley J (2007) Monitoring and Estimating Tropical Forest Carbon Stocks: Making REDD a Reality. Environment Research Letter 2(4):045023

Girmay G, Singh BR, Mitiku H, Borresen T, LA R (2008) Carbon stocks in Ethiopian soils in relation to land use and soil management. Land Degradation and Development 19:351–367.

Hailu M, Gebrehiwot K, Raj AJ (2014) Biomass Estimation of Exclosure in the Debrekidan Watershed, Tigray Region, Northern Ethiopia. International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry 4(2):88-93.

Hamere Yohannes, Teshome Soromessa, Mekuria Argaw (2015) Carbon Stock Analysis along Slope and Slope Aspect Gradient in Gedo Forest: Implications for Climate Change Mitigation. Journal of Earth Science and Climate Change 6(9):305

Hedberg I, Edwards S, Sileshi N (Eds.) (1995) Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea, Vol. 4 (1). The National Herbarium Addis Abeba University, Addis Abeba and Department of Systematic Botany, Uppsala University, Uppsala.

Inter Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2006) IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

International Business Machines (IBM), released (2012). Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), statistic for window version 21.0. Armonkny, IBM.crop.

Mekuria W (2013) Conversion of communal grazing lands into exclosures restored soil properties in the semi-arid lowlands of Northern Ethiopia. Arid Land Research and Management 27:153-166.

Mekuria W, Veldkampa E, Haile M (2009) Carbon stock changes with relation to land use conversion in the lowlands of Tigray, Ethiopia. Conference on International Research on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development. University of Hamburg, October 6-8, 2009.

Negash M, Starr M (2013) Litterfall production and associated carbon and nitrogen fluxes of seven woody species grown in indigenous agroforestry systems in the south-eastern Rift Valley escarpment of Ethiopia. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 97:29–41.

Pearson T, Brown S, Birdsey R (2007) Measurement Guidelines for the Sequestration of Forest carbon. Northern Research Station, Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

Pearson T, Walker S, Brown S (2005) Source book for land-use, land-use change and forestry projects. Win rock International and the Bio-carbon fund of the World Bank 57p.

Ponce-Hernandez R (2004) Assessing carbon stocks and modeling win–win scenarios of carbon sequestration through land-use changes. FAO, UN, Rome.

Snowdon P, Raison J, Keith H, Ritson P, Grierson P, Adams M, Montagu K, Bi HQ, Burrows W, Eamus D (2002) Protocol for sampling tree and stand biomass. National Carbon accounting System, Technical report no. 31. Canberra: Australian Greenhouse Office. p 66

Sundarapandian SM, Amritha S, Gowsalya L, Kayathri P, Thamizharasi M, Dar JA, Srinivas K, Gandhi DS, Subashree K (2015) Soil Organic Carbon Stocks in Different

Land Uses at Puthupet, Tamil Nadu, India. Journal of Ecology4(3): 6–14.

Sundarapandian SM, Dar JA, Gandhi DS, Kantipudi S, Subashree K (2013) Estimation of biomass and carbon stocks in tropical dry forests in Sivagangai District, Tamil Nadu, India. International Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering Research 4(3):66–76.

Walkley A, Black CA (1934) An examination of different methods for determining soil organic matter and the proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Journal of Soil Science 37:29–38.

Williams M, Ryan CM, Rees RM, Sambane E, Fernando J, Grace J (2008) Carbon sequestration and biodiversity of re-growing Miombo woodlands in Mozambique. Forest Ecology and Management 254:145–155.

Woldemichael LW, Bekele T, Nemomissa S (2010) Vegetation Composition in Hugumbirda-Gratkhassu National Forest Priority Area, South Tigray. MEJS 2:27-48.

Woollen E., Ryan CM, Williams M (2012) Carbon Stocks in an African Woodland Landscape: Spatial Distributions and Scales of Variation. Ecosystems 15:804–818.

Yimer F, Alemu G, Abdelkadir A (2015) Soil property variations in relation to exclosure and open grazing land use types in the Central Rift Valley area of Ethiopia. Environmental System Research 4:17.

Yitebitu Moges, Zewdu Eshetu and Sisay Nune (2010). Ethiopian Forest Resources: Current Status and Future Management Options in View of Access to Carbon Finances. A Review: Prepared for the Ethiopian Climate Research and Networking and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP).

Zhang K, Dang H, Tan S, Cheng X, Zhang Q (2009) Change in soil organic carbon following the 'grain-for-green' programme in china. Land Degradation and Development 21:13–23.